2016/17 Quality Improvement Plan "Improvement Targets and Initiatives"



| AIM | | Measure | | | | | | | Change | | | | |
|------|--|--|--|---|------|-------------|--------|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | Unit / | | | Current | _ | Target | Planned improvement | | | | |
| - | | Measure/Indicator | | Source / Period | - | performance | Target | justification | | Methods | Process measures | Goal for change ideas | |
| Safe | | Percent of complex continuing care (CCC residents who fell in the last 30 days. | - | CCRS, CIHI (eReports) / July – Sept 2015 (Q2 FY 2015/16 report) | 962* | 12.08 | 10.00 | To align with LHIN average | 1)Complex Care Clinical Protocol/Nursing Plan of Care: Falls Prevention | Develop and implement a Clinical Protocol that incorporates the NHS Falls Prevention Strategies & RNAO Best Practice Guidelines (BPG) for Falls Prevention | # Falls per unit per month | Reduction of Program falls by 2.1% | Reviewing current screening tools and processes Integrating Senior Friendly concepts &interventions into plan PEEK In hourly –initiative under discussion Post Fall Assessment tool to be adopted if approved by Falls Steering Committee |
| | | | | | | | | | 2)Introduce Serious Injury Risk Screening and intervention concepts | Develop and implement a Clinical Protocol that incorporates the NHS Falls Prevention Strategies & RNAO BPG for Falls Prevention | # of serious injury Falls | Reduction of Program falls by 2.1% | Reviewing current screening tools and processes Integrating Senior Friendly concepts & interventions into plan PEEK In hourly –initiative under discussion Post Fall Assessment tool to be adopted if approved by Falls Steering Committee |
| | | | L,000 acute All acute patients F tient days (harm | 1,000 / NHS Incident Reporting System / January - December | | 1.77 | 1.69 | A 5% improvement from 2015 baseline data. | 1)Maintain standard that Falls Risk Assessment and Intervention Tool (FRAT) completed within 24 hours of admission | Regular FRAT audits to be completed (awaiting development of electronic audit tool) | Percentage of patients with a completed FRAT assessment within 24 hours of admission | Target of 100% of patients with a completed FRAT assessment within 24 hours of admission | |
| | | | | | | | | | 2)Medicine Program- focus on reducing the average number of falls per month and reducing the severity of all fall incidents to L3 or less (Quality & Safety priority) | Leadership | t Case Debriefs – all high level falls reviewed through n Reflective Reviews –recommendations to Falls Steering | Target of 100% of all high harm level falls reviewed | |
| | | | | | | | | | 3)Work with Professional Practice to identify improvement opportunities related to: • Delirium Screening Tools • Use of Foley Catheters • Restraint Use • Medication Practices | Biweekly Falls Prevention Steering Committee meetings. Develop subgroups to facilitate: •Delirium Screening Tool •Strategy to ensure appropriate use of foley catheters •Least Restraint policy – plan for implementation •Review of frequently used medications associated with increased risk of falls (4 drug classes) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 4)Maintain standard that Falls Risk Assessment and Intervention Tool (FRAT) completed within 24 hours of admission | Regular FRAT audits to be completed (awaiting development of electronic audit tool) | Percentage of patients with a completed FRAT assessment within 24 hours of admission | Target of 100% of patients with a completed FRAT assessment within 24 hours of admission | |

| AIM | | Measure | Lipit / | | | Current | | Target | Change Blanned improvement | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|-----------------|------------------------|--------|--|--|--|---|--|
| uality dimension | Objective | Measure/Indicator | Unit / Population | Source / Period | Organization Id | Current performance | Target | Target justification | Planned improvement initiatives (Change Ideas) | Methods | Process measures | Goal for change ideas Comments |
| Quality dimension Safe cont'd | | Medication | Population % / All patients | Source / Period Hospital collected data / most recent quarter available | 962* | 12.6 | 43.40 | To achieve a 90% compliance rate based on 48% of admissions | 1)Introduce Pharmacy | MedRec Pharmacist certifying technicians to include | % of existing technicians (by site) certified in BPMH gathering and documentation | Initial goal: 4 certified technicians for each of GNG and WHS; 6-7 technicians at SCS |
| | | | | | | | | | 2)MedRec Quality audits with feedback to programs | MedRec Pharmacist validates BPMHs to identify quality issues | # of quality audits (10-20 per program per month) | Improved quality audit results Improved communication with programs Pro-active approach to validating quality of information and documentation accuracy |
| | | | | | | | | | 3)Introduction of BPMH process in surgical program | Standard BPMH process and form introduced into existing surgical processes. Staff education. | Review existing home medication documentation sources and change to use BPMH form. % of surgical staff completing MedRec education. | All existing home medication documentation refers to the BPMH. 95 % compliance by April 2016. |
| | acquired infection rates | CDI rate per 1,000 patient days: Number of patients newly diagnosed with hospital-acquired CDI during the reporting period, divided by the number of patient days in the reporting period, multiplied by 1,000. | patients I | days / All Reported, MOH / | / | 0.45 | 0.41 | reduction | 1)Root cause analysis of all Hospital Acquired linfection (HAI) CDI cases | HAI CDI critical incident debrief process for all HAI CDI cases | # of HAI CDI cases reviewed | Initiate HAI CDI critical incident debrief process by March 31, 2016. Develop process improvements based on the root cause analysis data |
| | | | | | | | | | 2)Continue to provide appropriate cleaning practices using cleaning agents that provide Sporicidal properties to reduce hospital acquired CDI infection rates, train and audit performance of Support and Clinical Staff through improved cleaning audit compliance and awareness to changes in process. | Verification and posting to SourceNet of site and unit specific audits: •Observational •ATP •Dye marker | Cleaning audit compliance New cleaning process for non- support staff | Total cleaning audit Senior Team and IPAC compliance to NHS support will be needed as established results new non-support and Clinica Observational = > 85% process are rolled out to ATP = 90% reduce hospital acquired CDI infection rates infection rates |
| | | | | | | | | | 3)Antimicrobial stewardship interventions to optimize antimicrobial use | Continue prospective audit or antimicrobial use and provide consultation and feedback to prescribers Continue to participate in order set review and development where antimicrobial therapy is required Promote use of ASP antimicrobial handbook | Broad-spectrum antimicrobial use (measured in DDD (defined daily dose)/1000 patient days | Continue to optimize antimicrobial use |
| | | | | | | | | | 4)Collect baseline data for proton pump inhibitor (PPI) use | Infection Prevention and Control (IPAC) team to identify a way to collect and prospectively track/audit utilization of PPIs | | Baseline data/audit data collected and analyzed by December 31, 2016 |
| | | | | | | | | | 5)Improve hand hygiene compliance to moment #1- before patient/patient environment contact | Staff and patient awareness campaign | Hand Hygiene audit results for moment #1 reported on unit specific IPAC scorecard | To strive for the stretch target of 100% HH compliance at moment 1 before patient/patient environment contact |

| MM | | Measure | | | | | | | Change | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|--|------------|--|-----------------|-------------|--------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | Unit / Current Target | | | | | | | Planned improvement | | | |
| ality dimension | Objective | Measure/Indicator | Population | Source / Period C | Organization Id | performance | Target | justification | initiatives (Change Ideas) | Methods | Process measures | Goal for change ideas Comments |
| Timely | the ED | ED Wait times: 90th percentile ED length of stay for Admitted patients. | Hours / ED | CCO iPort Access 96 / January 2015 - December 2015 | | 41 | 28.00 | To align with HSAA target. | Up and Go initiative in ED. | Implement a standardized testing tool for front line ED staff to assess mobility and discharge safety. Educate staff on the tool. | | Provide staff with a validated tool to assess patient mobility and discharge safety. Reduce OT & PT referrals from ED for mobility assessment. |
| | | | | | | | | | 2)Develop discharge criteria to be used on medical units to determine readiness for discharge. | Develop, review and implement standardized medical unit discharge criteria. | | Improve teams ability to predict discharges through "day ahead" strategy. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Identify roles, responsibilities, processes and tools for a rapid response team to admit avoid in ED. | of patients identified as "admission avoided". | Variability in discharges directly from ED due to non- standardized work. Opportunity identified to influence the admission rate for patients who could likely be discharged and supported in the community. |
| | | | | | | | | | implementation of | Establish a commitment to consistent process and expectations regarding all aspects of EDD identification, monitoring and actions. | | Opportunity identified to develop a standardized process and responsibility for setting and monitoring EDD. |